

CITY OF SPRINGBORO
425 S. PIONEER BOULEVARD, SPRINGBORO, OH

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2008

6:00 PM

COUNCIL

John Agenbroad, Mayor

Marie Belpulsi, Deputy Mayor
Scott Anderson
Sheila Lairson

Jim Chmiel
Tom LaDu
John D. Parise

CITY STAFF

Chris Thompson, City Manager

Chris Pozzuto, Assistant City Manager
Jeff Kruthoff, Police Chief

David Montgomery, Acting Law Director
Lori Martin, Clerk of Council

ITEM 1. CALL TO ORDER. Mayor Agenbroad called the Springboro, Ohio City Council Work Session to order on Thursday, September 4, 2008 at 6:00 PM in Council Chambers at the City's temporary facility in the Dalco Building, 425 S. Pioneer Boulevard, Springboro, Ohio.

ITEM 2. ATTENDANCE. No absences.

ITEM 3. LEGISLATIVE AGENDA. –Two legislative agenda items were slated for the September 4, 2008 City Council Regular Meeting.

- 1) **ORDINANCE: FIRST READING.** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 244.29 (C)(2), 244.29(D)(2) AND 244.29(G)(3), TRAVEL AND MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES, CHAPTER 244, EMPLOYEES GENERALLY, TITLE SIX – ADMINISTRATION, OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SPRINGBORO, OHIO.

No discussion.

- 2) **RESOLUTION:** A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE OF CITY FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FLOWERS TO BE SENT FOR BEREAVEMENT OR ILLNESS OF A PERSON OF IMPORTANCE TO THE CITY OR A DONATION TO AN ORGANIZATION AS REQUESTED BY THE FAMILY OF THE DECEASED IN LIEU OF FLOWERS.

Ms. Thompson explained that the City's auditors suggested this legislation to establish correct procedures to permit expenditures for flowers or a donation, for example to Hospice, for bereavement or illness. This resolution of Council would officially authorize the expenditure of funds for this purpose. The Finance Committee has reviewed the auditor's recommendation and recommends adopting this resolution.

ITEM 4. CITY MANAGER. –Issues/Reports.

I-75/SR73 Bridge Project Update: Ms. Thompson commented that about two years ago Springboro and the City of Franklin in cooperation with ODOT conducted an IMS (Interchange Modification Study) to justify

modifying the bridge at I-75 and SR73. ODOT had planned to refurbish the bridge and the City through the IMS with the City of Franklin encouraged ODOT to, instead of refurbishing the bridge, widen the piers underneath and reconstruct the bridge. The bridge reconstruction project would enable the City to add lanes under the bridge on SR73 to accommodate all of the traffic traveling east and west and exiting the interstate. ODOT agreed to reconstruct the bridge as long as the jurisdictions paid the difference. Springboro and Franklin went to Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission and were able to secure the funds to help pay for that project, and the City's portion is very minimal. None of that project has changed with the exception of the following: ODOT recently decided that the traffic volume counts from the IMS were inconsistent with their traffic counts. Originally, there were going to be two right-turn lanes into Franklin from the southbound I-75 ramp and three left-turn lanes into Springboro from the southbound I-75 ramp. ODOT has now stated that they want two left-turn lanes into Springboro and one right-turn lane into Franklin from the southbound I-75 ramp. The City's choices are to contest ODOT's recent changes to the project, which would not be prudent right now or collectively spend, between Franklin and Springboro, about \$15,000.00 to redesign the project to incorporate ODOT's changes. The two jurisdictions would most likely be able to get help from the MVRPC to recapture the redesign cost by virtue of the fact that if ODOT does reduce the lanes there will be money left on the table because the ramp improvements will cost less. Ms. Thompson has spoken with the project engineer, Scott Knebel of LJB, and Franklin City Manager Jim Lukas and discussed that if there was any money left on the table from the lane reduction they would petition ODOT to allow Springboro to use the money for right-of-way acquisition to secure the BP Gas Station property for the potential northbound ramp project. Ms. Thompson commented that if it were acceptable to Council, she would attempt to get those left over funds diverted. The project engineer anticipates that the lane reductions would result in an approx. \$1M savings, which could be used to petition BP to sell their property to the City. Ms. Thompson added that public roadways are also subject to eminent domain if necessary. Again, Springboro and Franklin are also going to ask Don Spang at MVRPC if he would assist the jurisdictions in floating that \$15,000.00 fee for the redesign also in anticipation of using some of the left over funds.

Mr. Parise asked if the changes that ODOT has made to the project are only at the exit ramp? Ms. Thompson replied yes. Mr. Parise asked if the plan to add east and west bound lanes to SR73 would remain the same? Ms. Thompson commented that any additional lanes on SR73 would coordinate with ODOT's project. She explained that if Springboro has two left turn lanes exiting the southbound I-75 ramp, there would only be two lanes going east on SR73. Ms. Thompson further explained that it would be difficult for two lanes of traffic to converge to one lane and three lanes would require a longer approach and impact the intersection at Sharts Road. Ms. Thompson further commented that these lane considerations would be addressed as part of the redesign of the project that will cost \$15,000.00. Ms. Thompson added that the plan would be to either keep three lanes going east on SR73 or to reduce to two lanes, but she does not know yet which it will be.

Mr. Parise stated that, in regard to the ramp itself, he thinks that one right-turn lane into Franklin is enough as it is the fastest moving lane and thinks that two left-turn lanes into Springboro is also adequate. Ms. Thompson agreed that it is adequate for now, but it will not be adequate in 10 to 20 years, but ODOT is not willing to take that into consideration right now. Mr. Parise commented that in 10 to 20 years the Austin Interchange would be in place, which will take some of the traffic load off of the interchange on SR73.

Mr. Chmiel asked if there would be any change in the stacking lane exiting the interstate? Ms. Thompson replied that the stacking lane would be lengthened, which will help.

Ms. Thompson continued her comments by stating that it is not worth fighting with ODOT to change their plan; it would be better to accept ODOT's plan with the lane reductions and try to divert those savings toward the northbound ramp project. Ms. Thompson added that it would cost approx. \$5M to build the ramp; however, if the City could secure the property it would be a step in the right direction because the building and tanks could be removed and the property could serve as a descent entrance into Springboro in the meantime.

Mr. Anderson asked who is holding the \$1M in savings from the lane reduction? Ms. Thompson explained that MVRPC is holding the money right now. She explained that it is not the City's money; Springboro would have to get everyone involved to agree to divert the money left on the table into the purchase of the BP

property for the northbound ramp. Ms. Thompson added that the City's portion of the I-75/SR73 Bridge Project is about \$250,000.00.

Mr. Anderson asked if it was actually the State that held the money or MVRPC? Ms. Thompson explained that the State gives MVRPC money and MVRPC funds local projects. The savings is just money that would be left on the table from the project itself. Five million dollars is committed to the I-75 project. If the ramp changes result in a savings of \$1M in construction costs, then that money would be surplus that no one is going to use for that project; unless, Springboro petitions ODOT to use the money for the north bound ramp project. Ms. Thompson explained that Springboro's request might not get approved, but that is the direction she would like to take in order to capture those left over funds. Ms. Thompson also stated that all of the improvements to the ramps are on the Franklin side; therefore, if there is money left on the table from that ramp project it should come to Springboro.

Mr. LaDu asked if the bridge is still going to be replaced? Ms. Thompson replied yes, the bridge project has not changed. Mr. LaDu confirmed that the bridge piers would be widened enough to accommodate three lanes, but there would only be two lanes on SR73. Ms. Thompson explained that the bridge would be wide enough for three lanes, but depending upon what the engineer says about how to coordinate the lane changes with the new design, she does not know right now if Springboro will have three or two lanes. Three lanes on SR73 would affect the Sharts intersection and the City's Mobility Plan on that corridor because a third lane was never added to that plan; however, two lanes are reasonable at this point. Ms. Thompson reiterated that the bridge project would stay the same and ODOT would still widen the piers.

Austin Road Interchange Project Update: The TID (Transportation Improvement District) has tentatively scheduled its annual Austin Interchange update meeting for October 9 at Cox Arboretum at 6:00PM. The bid for the interchange construction will be awarded on December 10. Ms. Thompson encouraged Council to attend the October 9 TID meeting, which would probably be the final meeting and opportunity for all of the elected officials from the jurisdictions involved to get together before they break ground at the interchange. Also, Ms. Thompson commented that she would like to have a discussion with Council in the near future concerning some interchange related issues, specifically tax sharing and usage. Ms. Thompson elaborated by stating that the district should be thought of as one entity rather than three separate entities with respect to usage and tax revenue, and she thinks that the lack of competition it would create is probably the best, most regionally minded thing that any of them could do. Some users have approached different jurisdictions, but the local jurisdictions need to talk about usage in general terms. Ms. Thompson explained that there is a waterfall, if Springboro does not make its TIF revenue Miamisburg and Miami Township have to cover Springboro's dept payment and vice versa; therefore, why not share the revenue too. Ms. Thompson reiterated that how the revenue would be shared i.e. what percentage of the revenue each jurisdiction would receive would be worked out in the details. Ms. Thompson explained that all three entities would be scheduling sessions to talk about these issues. She added that it has been at least 10 years since this project began, and it is exciting to know that the construction bid will be opened in December.

Road Salt: Ms. Thompson commented that she mentioned at the last meeting the potential for road salt to be a major expense this year when Council discussed the General Fund projections. Now, road salt is being quoted at three times the regular rate. The City bid for road salt with both Hamilton County and SWOP4G, a southwest Ohio for Government purchasing consortium with about 100 members. SWOP4G did not give Springboro a bid, but, for example, Germantown got a bid of \$100 per ton and Moraine got a bid of \$64 per ton. Springboro, Franklin, and Centerville did not get bids, but Clearcreek Township got a bid for \$74 per ton. The bids received from the vendors were random and selective. Prices were anywhere from \$64 per ton up to \$153 per ton. Hamilton County rejected all bids because they were so outrageous. The State of Ohio also rejected all of the bids they received for road salt. Both, representatives of the CLG (Center for Local Government) and members of the MVCC (Miami Valley Communications Council), contacted John Mahoney of the Ohio Municipal League to advise him of the situation and to apply pressure from both groups. Ms. Thompson pointed out that this is public safety issue. Through this concerted effort, John Mahoney took the initiative to send a bulletin out to all of the jurisdictions in the State of Ohio to find out what other communities were experiencing with regard to salt bids and to gather information in order to approach the Attorney General and Justice Department to investigate. In the meantime, the best and latest bid is \$154 per ton, which would cost the City in excess of \$100,000.00 over budget, or \$212,000.00 to purchase 800

tons of salt. The City purchased and stockpiled 900 tons of road salt at the end of last year to fill the bins. This amount would cover three to four snow events during a normal year. Ms. Thompson commented that she is hoping that when Hamilton County rebids for salt they will get a better price, and hopes that the Justice Department will intervene if necessary. She added that John Mahoney is going to call the Ohio Department of Transportation as well to find out what the State is going to do because they rejected all of their bids too. Ms. Thompson also stated that the City has brine tanks that were approved last year, which will help in certain conditions. The brine will be used on the main roads, but not on every residential side street because the City does not have enough trucks to cover the entire City. Ms. Thompson finally commented that she wanted Council to be well aware of the situation.

Ms. Belpulsi asked if the price of salt had gone up due to the cost of transportation?

Ms. Thompson replied that it could be due to fuel costs because the vendors are claiming that to transport salt to all of the communities is so unbelievably volatile that they had to raise the price. Ms. Thompson commented that the big confusion is how the vendors are selecting to sell to certain communities and not to others. Ms. Thompson also explained that the local jurisdictions talked about buying more salt to stockpile and buying it from each other in order to make sure all of the communities could function through the winter. Hamilton County will bid for salt again on September 13 and SWOP4G will bid again on September 11.

Citizens Financial Planning Task Force/2004 Packet: (The packet will be filed with the minutes for the record.) Ms. Thompson distributed a packet of information regarding the Citizens Task Force recommendations in 2004 including minutes of the Task Force meetings and Council meeting at which Chairman Tom Lindstrom made the formal recommendation, and the list of participants. Ms. Thompson asked Council to review the materials and let her know if and when they would like to discuss it. The Citizens Task Force explored options to ensure the future stability of the City's General Fund and recommended reducing the income tax credit in 2004 as the first step. The Task Force also recommended that the second step would be a levy. Ms. Thompson commented that she compiled this packet of information in response to the discussions Council had concerning the General Fund projections.

ITEM 5. CLERK OF COUNCIL. –Issues/Reports.

2008 Charter Amendments – The 2008 proposed Charter Amendments were certified to the November ballot. Springboro voters will receive a mailer containing the proposed text amendments along with a cover letter explaining the process and proposal of amendments around the second week of October. In addition, the law requires that the full text of the amendments be published in a local newspaper for two consecutive weeks, which will be October 2 and October 9.

Citizen Committee Appointments for 2009 – Committee members whose terms expire at the end of this year were sent notification, which is due October 1st. All open committee seats will be advertised at the beginning of October for terms beginning in 2009. The intentions of the incumbents along with any new applicants will be forwarded to Council in early December for consideration to prepare for appointments in January.

3rd U.S. District Office Hours – Congressman Turner's Field Representative Marty Heide will hold office hours in Council Chambers on Friday, September 26 from 1:00-2:00 PM to meet with district constituents.

Calendar Updates: Springboro Day at Heatherwoode is Sunday, October 12 and Beggar's Night is Thursday, October 30, 6:00-8:00 PM.

ITEM 6. CITY COUNCIL. –Issues/Reports.

Mr. LaDu – No issues/reports.

Mr. Parise – No issues/reports.

Mr. Chmiel – No issues/reports.

Ms. Lairson – Ms. Lairson reported that the Tree Authority has begun reviewing the Tree Ordinance, which regulates trees, more specifically guidelines and restrictions pertaining to trees. Ms. Lairson commented that it would be a long process, but they would have a recommendation to Council in the future.

Mr. Anderson – Mr. Anderson asked Ms. Martin which local newspapers would the Charter amendments be advertised in? Ms. Martin stated that the proposed amendments would be advertised in either the Star Press or the Springboro Sun or possibly both local newspapers. Mr. Anderson asked if the Charter amendments could also be posted on the website? Ms. Martin replied yes, that the proposed amendments would be posted on the website.

Mr. Anderson referred to the recent approval of the Kroger development in the Township on SR48 and SR73 and asked if there has been any more discussion regarding City sewer service to that development? Ms. Thompson explained that no one has approached the City about sewer service for that development. Ms. Thompson explained that when the City discussed this years ago, it was Council's position that unless they JEDD the property there would be no logical reason to allow them to send their affluent into Springboro. Ms. Thompson commented that she was directed to inform the Township or anyone else that asks that Springboro would allow them to tap into the sewer if they JEDD the property, but they would still have to pay for their affluent. Ms. Thompson further commented that Township Administrator Dennis Pickett is well aware of Springboro's position regarding this issue, and had no hesitation about it. Ms. Thompson explained that she has yet to be approached in the last year or more about sewer for that site, and she understands that the Trustees approved it about a week ago. Ms. Thompson explained that it takes months to establish a JEDD, which involves drafting an agreement and having all of the property owners sign off that they agree to be in the JEDD. But, beyond all of that, it would be two miles of hard construction to sewer that site because of the profile of the road. In addition, Ms. Thompson stated that she was told that Montgomery County would not sewer the site because they cannot handle the volume in their system in this part of the county.

Mr. Anderson asked if they could use a septic system? Ms. Thompson stated that she believed there was discussion at one point about on-site disposal, but she does not believe that the site plan was approved with on-site disposal.

Ms. Belpulsi asked if when Council had the discussion regarding sewer to that site was it also decided that no other property owners could tie into the sewer? Ms. Thompson clarified that no residential properties could tap into the sewer; however, the businesses on the corner would be permitted to tap in, but they would have to pay as well. Ms. Thompson added that the City has a list of the users and the volumes, but it would just be the businesses that would receive sewer service because the County absolutely did not want residential properties tapping into the system and Springboro does not either, as it would create a lot more service issues.

Mr. Parise asked, if that site cannot get sewer service from any other community, is the City obligated to accept it? Ms. Thompson replied no, not in any way; it is the City's sewer system. Ms. Thompson commented that the City does not have a problem providing sewer to the site, but no one has asked for it. Ms. Thompson stated that she assumes Council's position is still the same, which is that Springboro would be happy to provide sewer as long as a JEDD is created for the property because a JEDD would allow the City to receive some income tax revenue. Ms. Thompson reiterated that it would be very difficult to construct a line on SR73 all the way to SR48.

Ms. Belpulsi – No issues/reports. The Planning Commission Work Session scheduled for September 10 at 7:00 PM has been canceled.

Mayor Agenbroad – Mayor Agenbroad noted that Ms. Lairson should abstain from the vote on the minutes due to her absence from the August 21 Council meetings. In addition, Mayor Agenbroad commented that he continues to receive phone calls about how many cars are permitted or can be parked on a person's lawn in regard to a property on Dugan. Ms. Thompson commented that this has been an ongoing problem and staff would follow up.

ITEM 7. ADJOURNMENT. *With no further discussion, Mayor Agenbroad adjourned the City Council Work Session at approximately 6:34 PM.*

CITY OF SPRINGBORO
425 S. PIONEER BOULEVARD, SPRINGBORO, OH

CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2008

7:00 PM

COUNCIL

John Agenbroad, Mayor

Marie Belpulsi, Deputy Mayor
Scott Anderson
Sheila Lairson

Jim Chmiel
Tom LaDu
John D. Parise

CITY STAFF

Chris Thompson, City Manager

Chris Pozzuto, Assistant City Manager
Jeff Kruthoff, Police Chief

David Montgomery, Acting Law Director
Lori Martin, Clerk of Council

ITEM 1. CALL TO ORDER. Mayor Agenbroad called the Springboro, Ohio City Council Regular Meeting to order on Thursday, September 4, 2008 at 7:00 PM in Council Chambers at the City's temporary facility in the Dalco Building located at 425 S. Pioneer Boulevard, Springboro, Ohio.

ITEM 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. Mayor Agenbroad led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ITEM 3. ROLL CALL. Agenbroad, Present; Anderson, Present; Belpulsi, Present; Chmiel, Present; LaDu, Present; Lairson, Present; Parise, Present.

ITEM 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: THE MINUTES OF THE AUGUST 21, 2008 CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AND REGULAR MEETING.

Mr. LaDu motioned to approve the Minutes of the August 21, 2008 City Council Work Session and Regular Meeting as submitted by the Clerk of Council. Mr. Chmiel seconded the motion.

No corrections.

VOTE: Parise, Yes; LaDu, Yes; Lairson, Abstain; Anderson, Yes; Belpulsi, Yes; Agenbroad, Yes; Chmiel, Yes. [APPROVED 6-0-1]

ITEM 5. PRESENTATIONS: No Presentations.

ITEM 6. LEGISLATION: City Council held a Work Session at 6:00 PM tonight for approximately 34 minutes to discuss the following legislation as well as other City matters.

- 1) ORDINANCE: FIRST READING.** "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 244.29 (C)(2), 244.29(D)(2) AND 244.29(G)(3), TRAVEL AND MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES, CHAPTER 244, EMPLOYEES GENERALLY, TITLE SIX – ADMINISTRATION, OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SPRINGBORO, OHIO," was read by the Clerk of Council. Ms. Thompson's comments are summarized as follows:

This is the first reading of an ordinance amending Travel and Miscellaneous Expenses, Chapter 244, Employees Generally. These amendments would allow an employee or member of Council the option to use a Per Diem rate rather than a straight reimbursement for any expenses related to City travel. In other words, rather than requiring receipts for every meal and various other expenses, a Per Diem rate can be given to the traveler as reimbursement. The City is bound by the General Services Administration's established Per Diem rates for each city. The maximum amount dictated by the General Services Administration for cities that are not listed, such as Springboro, is \$32 per day. Obviously, San Francisco or some other larger City would have a higher Per Diem rate. Staff feels strongly that this option to reimburse for travel expenses could potentially save the City a great deal of money because the City is not directly reimbursing for every expense an employee or member of Council incurs during travel; therefore, staff and the Finance Committee recommend passing this ordinance.

No action required at this time.

- 2) **RESOLUTION R-08-40:** "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE OF CITY FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FLOWERS TO BE SENT FOR BEREAVEMENT OR ILLNESS OF A PERSON OF IMPORTANCE TO THE CITY OR A DONATION TO AN ORGANIZATION AS REQUESTED BY THE FAMILY OF THE DECEASED IN LIEU OF FLOWERS," was read by the Clerk of Council. Ms. Thompson's comments are summarized as follows:

This resolution authorizes the expenditure of City funds for flowers or a donation for bereavement or illness of a person of importance to the City. The City's auditors suggested that the Council pass a resolution, which would allow the City to send flowers or a donation, for example, to Hospice in the name of someone who has become ill or passed away. Essentially, the resolution states that City Council shall decide who are appropriate recipients. This resolution is being recommended for approval based on the suggestion of the City's auditors to improve operations.

Mayor Agenbroad presented the item for questions/comments of Council. No questions/comments.

Ms. Belpulsi motioned to adopt Resolution R-08-40. Mr. Parise seconded the motion.

No discussion.

VOTE: LaDu, Yes; Lairson, Yes; Anderson, Yes; Belpulsi, Yes; Agenbroad, Yes; Chmiel, Yes; Parise, Yes. [APPROVED 7-0]

- ITEM 7. REPORTS: Mayor's Report** – The 3rd U.S. District Representative Office Hours are scheduled for Friday, September 26, 1:00-2:00 PM in Council Chambers. In addition, Mayor Agenbroad reported that City Council, staff, and several residents of the community attended a groundbreaking ceremony for the new City Building immediately prior to this evening's Council meeting. Mayor Agenbroad commented that City Council is very excited for the Springboro community to be in a position to have this opportunity, and further details will be forthcoming. The next City Council Work Session will be held on Thursday, September 18, 2008 at 6:00 PM in Council Chambers, as scheduled. The next City Council Regular Meeting will be held on Thursday, September 18, 2008 at 7:00 PM in Council Chambers, as scheduled. The Finance Committee will meet on September 18, 2008 at 5:30 PM in Council Chambers. All meetings will be held at the City's temporary facility in the Dalco Building located at 425 S. Pioneer Boulevard in Springboro, unless otherwise posted.

City Manager's Report – Ms. Thompson reported that after approximately 10 years of planning, the Austin Interchange bid for construction would be awarded to a contractor in December of this year. There will be a meeting for elected officials and the public for a final update on the Austin Interchange Project on October 9 at 6:00 PM at Cox Arboretum. The Austin Road Interchange is a pretty significant project and should be an excellent economic development driver for the City of Springboro once it is opened. In addition, Ms. Thompson updated Council on the I-75/SR73 Bridge Project. Ms. Thompson commented that a few years ago, Springboro entered into an agreement with the City of Franklin and ODOT to reconfigure the I-75 Bridge that spans State Route 73 at the interchange. This reconfiguration would widen the bridge piers at the interchange enabling the City to eventually add more lanes on State Route 73 in order to make east/west

travel easier and to increase the turn lanes on the ramps to ease traffic congestion during peak hours. This project would coincide with ODOT's project to add lanes to I-75, and construction is anticipated to begin in mid-2009. There have been some modifications to the project per ODOT's suggestion that might potentially free up some funding for the City to pursue the land where the BP Gas Station used to be for the planned and anticipated north bound ramp off of State Route 73. The I-75/SR73 Bridge Project is also pretty significant, and the City looks forward to it.

Committee Reports –

Mr. LaDu – No reports.

Mr. Parise – No reports.

Mr. Chmiel – No reports.

Ms. Lairson – No reports.

Mr. Anderson – No reports.

Ms. Belpulsi – No reports.

ITEM 8. OTHER BUSINESS. No other business.

ITEM 9. FINAL COUNCIL AND MANAGER COMMENTS. No final Council or Manager comments.

ITEM 10. GUEST COMMENTS. No Guest comments.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE SESSION. No Executive Session.

ITEM 12. ADJOURNMENT. Mayor Agenbroad thanked the MVCC for tonight's telecast and scheduled rebroadcasts of this Springboro City Council Meeting.

Mr. Parise motioned to adjourn the meeting; whereby, the Thursday, September 4, 2008 Springboro City Council Regular Meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:08 PM. Ms. Belpulsi seconded the motion.

No discussion.

VOTE: Agenbroad, Yes; Chmiel, Yes; Parise, Yes; LaDu, Yes; Lairson, Yes; Anderson, Yes; Belpulsi, Yes. [APPROVED 7-0]

John H. Agenbroad, Mayor

Presiding Officer

Lori A. Martin, Clerk of Council